

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

4-(2H-Tetrazol-5-yl)pyridinium perchlorate

Jing Dai

Ordered Matter Science Research Center, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: fudavid88@yahoo.com.cn


Received 3 April 2009; accepted 14 May 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 298$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$ Å;
R factor = 0.035; wR factor = 0.093; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.4.

In the cation of the title compound, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{N}_5^+\cdot\text{ClO}_4^-$, the pyridinium and tetrazole rings form a dihedral angle of $23.6(1)^\circ$. In the crystal structure, weak intermolecular $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ and $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$ hydrogen bonds link cations and anions into chains extending along the b axis.

Related literature

For applications of tetrazole derivatives in coordination chemistry, see: Xiong *et al.* (2002); Wang *et al.* (2005). For related structures, see: Dai & Fu (2008); Wen (2008).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{N}_5^+\cdot\text{ClO}_4^-$
 $M_r = 247.61$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
 $a = 5.2033(10)$ Å
 $b = 14.764(3)$ Å
 $c = 12.244(2)$ Å
 $\beta = 101.78(3)^\circ$

$V = 920.8(3)$ Å³
 $Z = 4$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.43$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 298$ K
 $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2005)
 $T_{\min} = 0.872$, $T_{\max} = 1.000$
(expected range = 0.801–0.919)

9546 measured reflections
2108 independent reflections
1849 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.036$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.093$
 $S = 1.09$
2108 reflections

146 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.29$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.37$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N5}-\text{H5A}\cdots\text{O3}^{\text{i}}$	0.86	2.28	2.964 (2)	136
$\text{N5}-\text{H5A}\cdots\text{N2}^{\text{ii}}$	0.86	2.38	3.059 (2)	136
$\text{N3}-\text{H3A}\cdots\text{O4}^{\text{iii}}$	0.86	2.21	2.884 (2)	135

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y + 1, -z + 1$; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by a start-up grant from Southeast University to Professor Ren-Gen Xiong.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CV2545).

References

- Dai, W. & Fu, D.-W. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, o1444.
Rigaku (2005). *CrystalClear*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
Wang, X.-S., Tang, Y.-Z., Huang, X.-F., Qu, Z.-R., Che, C.-M., Chan, C. W. H. & Xiong, R.-G. (2005). *Inorg. Chem.* **44**, 5278–5285.
Wen, X.-C. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, m768.
Xiong, R.-G., Xue, X., Zhao, H., You, X.-Z., Abrahams, B. F. & Xue, Z.-L. (2002). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **41**, 3800–3803.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o1349 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809018200]

4-(2*H*-Tetrazol-5-yl)pyridinium perchlorate

J. Dai

Comment

In the past few years, more and more people have focused their attention on the chemistry of tetrazole derivatives because of their multiple coordination modes as ligands to metal ions and for the construction of novel metal-organic frameworks (Wang *et al.* 2005; Xiong *et al.* 2002; Wen, 2008). We report here the crystal structure of the title compound, 4-(2*H*-tetrazol-5-yl)pyridinium perchlorate).

In the title compound (Fig.1), the pyridine N atom is protonated. The pyridine ring makes a dihedral angle of 23.62 (1)° with the tetrazole ring. The geometric parameters of the tetrazole rings are comparable to those in related molecules (Wang *et al.* 2005; Dai & Fu, 2008).

The crystal packing is stabilized by N—H···O and N—H···N hydrogen bonds (Table 1) with the formation of zig-zag chains parallel to *b* axis.

Experimental

Isonicotinonitrile (30 mmol), NaN₃ (45 mmol), NH₄Cl (33 mmol) and DMF (50 ml) were added in a flask under nitrogen atmosphere and the mixture stirred at 110°C for 20 h. The resulting solution was then poured into ice-water (100 ml), and a white solid was obtained after adding HCl (6 *M*) till pH=6. The precipitate was filtered and washed with distilled water. Colourless block-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained from the crude product by slow evaporation of an ethanol/HClO₄ (50:1 *v/v*) solution.

Refinement

All H atoms attached to C and N atoms were fixed geometrically and treated as riding with C—H = 0.93 Å (aromatic) and N—H = 0.86 Å with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C or N})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. A view of the title compound with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids were drawn at the 30% probability level.

4-(2H-Tetrazol-5-yl)pyridinium perchlorate

Crystal data

$C_6H_6N_5^+ \cdot ClO_4^-$	$F_{000} = 504$
$M_r = 247.61$	$D_x = 1.786 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: -P 2yn	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 5.2033 (10) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 2108 reflections
$b = 14.764 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 3.2\text{--}27.5^\circ$
$c = 12.244 (2) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.43 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 101.78 (3)^\circ$	$T = 298 \text{ K}$
$V = 920.8 (3) \text{ \AA}^3$	Block, colourless
$Z = 4$	$0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer	2108 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1849 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.036$
Detector resolution: $13.6612 \text{ pixels mm}^{-1}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ$
$T = 298 \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.2^\circ$
CCD profile fitting scans	$h = -6 \rightarrow 6$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2005)	$k = -19 \rightarrow 19$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.872$, $T_{\text{max}} = 1.000$	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$
9546 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
Least-squares matrix: full	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0391P)^2 + 0.4636P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.093$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.09$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
2108 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.29 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
146 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.37 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kFc[1 + 0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	Extinction coefficient: $0.032 (3)$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C11	0.54774 (8)	0.68556 (3)	0.60933 (3)	0.02861 (15)
O4	0.6154 (3)	0.76134 (10)	0.54624 (12)	0.0425 (4)
O3	0.2704 (3)	0.68626 (10)	0.60633 (13)	0.0433 (4)
O2	0.6150 (3)	0.60331 (10)	0.55912 (15)	0.0524 (4)
N1	0.3679 (3)	0.59831 (10)	0.88383 (13)	0.0307 (3)
N5	0.0708 (3)	0.33991 (11)	0.61681 (12)	0.0317 (3)
H5A	-0.0101	0.3029	0.5673	0.038*
N4	0.7318 (3)	0.51759 (10)	0.89177 (13)	0.0325 (4)
C3	0.3301 (3)	0.45759 (11)	0.77061 (13)	0.0247 (3)
C6	0.4761 (3)	0.52315 (11)	0.85006 (13)	0.0246 (3)
N2	0.5614 (3)	0.64262 (10)	0.94760 (13)	0.0327 (4)
C5	0.2877 (4)	0.31159 (12)	0.68590 (16)	0.0337 (4)
H5	0.3471	0.2526	0.6810	0.040*
N3	0.7722 (3)	0.59329 (10)	0.95023 (13)	0.0325 (4)
H3A	0.9246	0.6090	0.9871	0.039*
O1	0.6915 (3)	0.69193 (12)	0.72169 (12)	0.0516 (4)
C2	0.0996 (3)	0.48426 (12)	0.69840 (15)	0.0298 (4)
H2	0.0327	0.5423	0.7026	0.036*
C4	0.4234 (4)	0.37000 (12)	0.76447 (15)	0.0308 (4)
H4	0.5756	0.3511	0.8129	0.037*
C1	-0.0268 (4)	0.42336 (13)	0.62097 (15)	0.0334 (4)
H1	-0.1799	0.4401	0.5716	0.040*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C11	0.0264 (2)	0.0283 (2)	0.0283 (2)	-0.00122 (15)	-0.00104 (16)	0.00377 (16)
O4	0.0439 (8)	0.0389 (8)	0.0435 (8)	-0.0015 (6)	0.0060 (6)	0.0151 (6)
O3	0.0268 (7)	0.0536 (9)	0.0482 (8)	-0.0018 (6)	0.0044 (6)	-0.0002 (7)
O2	0.0510 (9)	0.0366 (8)	0.0687 (11)	0.0080 (7)	0.0098 (8)	-0.0074 (7)
N1	0.0302 (8)	0.0249 (8)	0.0349 (8)	-0.0011 (6)	0.0013 (6)	-0.0052 (6)
N5	0.0349 (8)	0.0290 (8)	0.0287 (8)	-0.0066 (6)	0.0006 (6)	-0.0077 (6)

supplementary materials

N4	0.0284 (8)	0.0285 (8)	0.0371 (8)	0.0002 (6)	-0.0016 (6)	-0.0049 (6)
C3	0.0260 (8)	0.0227 (8)	0.0247 (8)	-0.0023 (6)	0.0036 (6)	-0.0002 (6)
C6	0.0262 (8)	0.0215 (8)	0.0244 (8)	0.0000 (6)	0.0012 (6)	0.0007 (6)
N2	0.0346 (8)	0.0266 (8)	0.0344 (8)	-0.0041 (6)	0.0014 (6)	-0.0049 (6)
C5	0.0423 (11)	0.0224 (9)	0.0348 (10)	0.0007 (7)	0.0037 (8)	-0.0026 (7)
N3	0.0289 (8)	0.0293 (8)	0.0348 (8)	-0.0038 (6)	-0.0038 (6)	-0.0047 (6)
O1	0.0471 (9)	0.0699 (11)	0.0306 (8)	-0.0147 (7)	-0.0092 (6)	0.0103 (7)
C2	0.0291 (9)	0.0249 (9)	0.0328 (9)	0.0015 (7)	0.0003 (7)	-0.0014 (7)
C4	0.0331 (9)	0.0247 (9)	0.0304 (9)	0.0024 (7)	-0.0030 (7)	-0.0009 (7)
C1	0.0294 (9)	0.0345 (10)	0.0325 (9)	-0.0008 (7)	-0.0027 (7)	-0.0011 (8)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

C11—O1	1.4285 (15)	C3—C4	1.389 (2)
C11—O2	1.4363 (15)	C3—C2	1.394 (2)
C11—O3	1.4363 (15)	C3—C6	1.469 (2)
C11—O4	1.4433 (14)	N2—N3	1.312 (2)
N1—N2	1.315 (2)	C5—C4	1.375 (2)
N1—C6	1.347 (2)	C5—H5	0.9300
N5—C5	1.332 (2)	N3—H3A	0.8600
N5—C1	1.338 (2)	C2—C1	1.373 (2)
N5—H5A	0.8600	C2—H2	0.9300
N4—N3	1.321 (2)	C4—H4	0.9300
N4—C6	1.327 (2)	C1—H1	0.9300
O1—C11—O2	110.02 (11)	N3—N2—N1	105.86 (15)
O1—C11—O3	110.48 (10)	N5—C5—C4	119.66 (17)
O2—C11—O3	109.06 (9)	N5—C5—H5	120.2
O1—C11—O4	109.12 (9)	C4—C5—H5	120.2
O2—C11—O4	108.60 (10)	N2—N3—N4	114.64 (14)
O3—C11—O4	109.53 (9)	N2—N3—H3A	122.7
N2—N1—C6	105.96 (15)	N4—N3—H3A	122.7
C5—N5—C1	122.96 (15)	C1—C2—C3	118.82 (16)
C5—N5—H5A	118.5	C1—C2—H2	120.6
C1—N5—H5A	118.5	C3—C2—H2	120.6
N3—N4—C6	101.09 (14)	C5—C4—C3	119.14 (16)
C4—C3—C2	119.59 (15)	C5—C4—H4	120.4
C4—C3—C6	120.69 (15)	C3—C4—H4	120.4
C2—C3—C6	119.70 (15)	N5—C1—C2	119.82 (16)
N4—C6—N1	112.45 (15)	N5—C1—H1	120.1
N4—C6—C3	123.79 (15)	C2—C1—H1	120.1
N1—C6—C3	123.66 (15)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N5—H5A \cdots O3 ⁱ	0.86	2.28	2.964 (2)	136
N5—H5A \cdots N2 ⁱⁱ	0.86	2.38	3.059 (2)	136
N3—H3A \cdots O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.86	2.21	2.884 (2)	135

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y+1, -z+1$; (ii) $-x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+3/2$; (iii) $x+1/2, -y+3/2, z+1/2$.

Fig. 1

